NEWCASTLE-UNDER-LYME BOROUGH COUNCIL

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT TEAM TO AUDIT & STANDARDS COMMITTEE

Date 24 September 2018

HEADING QUARTERLY REPORT : ADOPTION OF INTERNAL AUDIT HIGH

RISK RECOMMENDATIONS AND SUMMARY OF ASSURANCE

APRIL TO JUNE 2018

Submitted by: Executive Director – Resources & Support Services

Portfolio Finance and Efficiency

Ward(s) affected All

Purpose of the Report

To report on any outstanding high risk recommendations to the Audit and Standards Committee on a quarterly basis and where necessary to request Members' approval to the Executive Directors requested actions in respect of the recommendations and proposed target dates.

To provide Members with an assurance opinion on internal controls over Council Services.

Recommendations

That the action of your officers and levels of assurance be noted

Reasons

High risk recommendations are those agreed with management that are key controls in providing assurance as to the efficiency and effectiveness of the system, service or process under review. By agreeing to prolong target dates Members are accepting the risk of not implementing the control. Delayed implementation of such controls should be challenged to identify reasons behind this and solutions to the delay. Delays may be a result of external or internal influences, lack of resources or inertia. Such delays in the implementation of recommendations will affect the assurance opinion provided on each Service.

1. Background

- 1.1 High risk recommendations are those where action is considered imperative to ensure that the authority is not exposed to high risks and to do this it needs to be implemented within 1 month of the recommendation being agreed with managers.
- 1.2 Recommendations are reported to committee on an exception basis, i.e. reports where high risk recommendations have been followed up with Managers on more than two occasions are brought to the attention of Members. In addition the Chair and Vice Chair receive exception reports quarterly where high risk recommendations have been followed up with Managers after the initial implementation date has expired.
- 1.3 With the production of the Annual Governance Statement in conjunction with the Statement of Accounts the follow up and implementation of recommendations is increasingly important, since they provide both officers and Members with assurance as to the effectiveness of key internal controls.

1.4 Assurance is provided on an annual basis as part of the Annual Report on the Internal Audit Service. It is also provided to each Executive Director on a monthly basis, based on the number of recommendations that have been implemented, and where the target date has been changed more than twice on either medium or high risk recommendations.

2. Issues

- 2.1 At the end of quarter one there was 1 outstanding high risk recommendation. This is at its second review date and therefore does not need to be reported to committee.
- 2.2 A summary of the number of outstanding recommendations and assurance levels for each of the 4 directorates during quarter 1 can be found at Appendix A.
- 2.3 Given these results at the end of the first quarter there are no issues or concerns in relation to any outstanding recommendations within any of the Directorates.

3. Reasons for Preferred Solution

3.1 Reasons for each Director proposal are specific to the actions required.

4. Outcomes Linked to Corporate Priorities

4.1 The systems, services and processes reviewed by Internal Audit link to and support the four priority themes of the Council, by reviewing these Audit is making the best use of the Council's resources and improving efficiency and this is further reinforced by managers as they implement the recommendations made.

5. Legal and Statutory Implications

5.1 The Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015 require the Council to 'maintain an adequate and effective system of internal audit of its accounting records and of its system of internal control in accordance with the proper internal audit practices'.

6. Equality Impact Assessment

6.1 There are no differential equality impact issues identified from this proposal.

7. Financial and Resource Implications

7.1 The majority of recommendations are met within existing resources; where additional resources are required these will form part of a separate report.

8. Major Risks

8.1 The role of Internal Audit is to provide management with an objective assessment of whether systems and controls are working properly. High Risk Recommendations identify areas where action is required in order to avoid exposure to risk. If managers fail to act upon fundamental audit recommendations assurance cannot be given on the adequacy of the systems of internal control.

9. Key Decision Information

9.1Not applicable

10. Earlier Cabinet/Committee Resolutions

10.1Where fundamental recommendations show a target date change; this identifies the number of times the recommendation has been referred back to Executive Management Team and to members for consideration of the risks prior to agreeing an extended implementation date or other action.

11. List of Appendices

Summary of Outstanding Audit Recommendations and level of Assurance for quarter 1

12. Background Papers

Pentana Audit Management system.